

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF OKLAHOMA COUNTY JUL 02 2026  
STATE OF OKLAHOMA

RICK WARREN  
COURT CLERK

12 \_\_\_\_\_

STATE OF OKLAHOMA, )  
 )  
 Plaintiff, )  
 )  
 v. )  
 )  
 RONALD BRENT SWADLEY, )  
 )  
 Defendant. )

Case No. CF-2024-629

**STATE'S NOTICE TO THE COURT**  
**REGARDING REVIEW OF DEFENDANT SWADLEY'S**  
**RECORDED JAIL CALLS**

COMES NOW the State of Oklahoma by Gentner Drummond, Attorney General for the State of Oklahoma, and provides the following notice to the Court:

1. On July 1, 2026, counsel for Swadley, Justin Lowe, and AAG Gayland Gieger discussed via phone continuing the Appeal Bond Hearing set July 2, 2026. Lowe was in Judge Stallings' Courtroom and Gieger was at the Attorney General's Office. With Judge Stallings' approval, Lowe prepared a handwritten court minute documenting the continuance requested by the defendant without objection from by the State. By agreement, Lowe left the minute with Judge Stallings for Gieger's signature later in the day.

2. At approximately 4:00 p.m. on July 1, 2026, Gieger went to Judge Stallings' Office to sign the minute per the agreement.

**Information Disclosed to the State by Judge Stallings**

3. At that time Judge Stallings properly informed Gieger that other counsel for the defendant, attorney Christine Vitale admitted by order granting association on June 26, 2026, attorney of record David Smith, and another attorney who per OSCN as of July 1, 2026, has not entered an appearance, Robert Don Gifford, just left her Office. Gieger was informed Vitale,

Smith, and Gifford asserted to Judge Stallings that the prosecutors improperly listened to recorded jail phone calls between Swadley and his attorneys in this matter. Gieger was informed Vitale, Smith, and Gifford asserted they had “evidence” proving their accusations including documentation from the jail phone system. Gieger was informed Vitale, Smith, and Gifford told Judge Stallings motions will be filed accusing the prosecutors of said impropriety and inquired about a hearing date of July 14, 2026. [Take Notice: Prosecutors Gieger and Colleen Galaviz are set for motion hearing all day on July 14 and 15 before Judge Dawn Moody in Tulsa County District Court on Case No. CF-2025-4621, State v. Joseph Campbell. Docket Sheet Attached as Exhibit A Further, should the defendant file motions the State will request the time allotted by local court rules to respond.]

4. The State believes Judge Stallings acted properly by timely disclosing the attorneys’ communications and only engaging in communications regarding scheduling for the professed motion(s).

5. The State believes Vitale, Smith, and Gifford attempted to have improper *Ex parte* communications with Judge Stallings. *Ex Parte* communications include those “made to the judge outside the presence of the parties or their lawyers, concerning a pending or impending matter.” *See*, Oklahoma Code of Judicial Conduct, Rule 2.9.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> **RULE 2.9 - Ex Parte Communications**

(A) A judge shall not initiate, permit, or consider ex parte communications, or consider other communications made to the judge outside the presence of the parties or their lawyers, concerning a pending or impending matter, except as follows: (1) When circumstances require it, ex parte communication for scheduling, administrative, or emergency purposes, which does not address substantive matters, is permitted, provided:

- (a) the judge reasonably believes that no party will gain a procedural, substantive, or tactical advantage as a result of the ex parte communication; and
- (b) the judge makes provision promptly to notify all other parties of the substance of the ex parte communication, and gives the parties an opportunity to respond.

...

6. Gieger acknowledged Judge Stalling's proper disclosure, signed the court minute per his agreement with Lowe, and left Judge Stalling's Office. Gieger immediately contacted Lowe who was unaware Vitale, Smith, and Gifford communicated their accusations and alleged evidence against the prosecutors to Judge Stallings. The State believes Lowe took no improper action.

#### **The State's Review of Defendant Swadley's Jail Calls**

7. On June 4, 2026, the State provided notice that the defendant's jail calls were being reviewed via its second supplemental pleading regarding appeal bond.

8. However, attorneys for the State have not listen to or reviewed the substance of any jail calls between Swadley and his attorneys herein.

#### **Process of Accessing Recorded Jail Calls**

9. Phone calls by inmates in the Oklahoma County Jail Inmate Oklahoma County Jail can be accessed by law enforcement, including the attorneys for the State by logging into the jail phone system and searching calls my inmate's names.

a. The system then displays a list of phone numbers called by the inmate, (see Exhibit B as an example). Names associated with those phone numbers are not listed.

b. Calls are accessed by "clicking" on separate phone calls listed.

c. All recorded calls begin with a verbal notification to the inmate and the party called that their statements are being recorded and subject to monitoring/review.

d. The State has been informed the jail has a procedure in place for attorneys representing inmates to have their phone number identified in the system so calls to that number are not recorded and subject to monitoring/review. The State has also been informed attorneys may confer with clients in private rooms at the jail which are not subject to recording.

e. As interns and/or attorneys for the state reviewed the defendant's calls, despite the said notifications and procedure for attorneys, counsel for the defendant, including Peter Scimeca, participated in recorded calls with the defendant. When counsel for the defendant was identified as a participant on the call, the State immediately stopped its review of that call.

e. Therefore, while the jail's phone system may document a call from Mr. Scimeca or another attorney of record herein was accessed by the State, none of those calls were reviewed or listened to by the state's attorneys beyond identification of the participating party who was provided the verbal notification on the call as referenced above.

10. Therefore, irrespective of accusations from Vitale, Smith, and Gifford to Judge Stallings without the State being present, attorneys for the State have not reviewed defendant Swadley's jail calls with his attorneys herein as represented to Judge Stallings.

#### **Further Legal Authority Relevant to this Notice**

Even if the State had reviewed Swadley's jail calls with attorneys herein (which it did not), any claimed privilege is deemed waived upon the participants receiving notice the calls were recorded and subject to monitoring/review.

- Tit 13 O.S. Section 176.4 states:

It is not unlawful pursuant to the Security of Communications Act for:

...

7. an officer or employee of the Oklahoma Department of Corrections to monitor any wire, oral or electronic communication where an incarcerated inmate is a party to that communication, if the inmate is given prior and conspicuous notice of the surveillance or monitoring.

- In 2019 Oklahoma attorney Jay Silvernail was convicted of assault and battery with a dangerous weapon. While in custody in the Oklahoma County Jail pending sentencing his law license was suspended, but he attempted to continue to practice law utilizing the recorded jail phone system. The Bar Association obtained those recorded calls and

presented them as evidence in his disbarment proceedings. The Oklahoma Supreme Court acknowledged the process in which jail calls are recorded and reviewed by law enforcement was proper. See, *State ex. rel. Oklahoma Bar Association v. Silvernail*, 2022 OK 68, 522 P.3d 464, at FN. 4 (“Calls are routinely monitored and recorded by jail officials. The recordings at issues here were offered into evidence before the Tribunal and are in the record before us”).

- In *United States v. Hatcher*, 323 F.3d 666 (8<sup>th</sup> Circuit 2003), defendants were convicted of crimes including conspiracy and armed robbery. There, prosecutors attempted to prevent production of recorded prison conversations between the codefendant(s) and their attorneys. The Eighth Circuit disagreed and ruled:

The presence of the prison recording device destroyed the attorney-client privilege. Because the inmates and their lawyers were aware that their conversations were being recorded, they could not reasonably expect that their conversations would remain private. The presence of the recording device was the functional equivalent of the presence of a third party. These conversations were not privileged. See *e.g.*, *Fisher v. Mr. Harold's Hair Lab, Inc.*, 215 Kan. 515, 519, 527 P.2d 1026, 1030 (1974) (stating that conversations are privileged only when made outside the presence of third parties); *Lipton Realty, Inc. v. St. Louis Housing Authority*, 705 S.W.2d 565, 570 (Mo.App.1986) (stating that the attorney-client privilege is waived when the client voluntarily shares the communications with third parties). (internal citation omitted).

*Id.*, at 674.

- In *United States v. Mejia*, 655 F.3d 126 (2<sup>nd</sup> Cir. 2011) the defendant was convicted of drug related offenses. The government sought to introduce a recorded Bureau of Prisons (i.e., jail) call made by an inmate to his sister wherein legal matters involving his attorney were discussed. On appeal, it was determined (1) the trial court did not abuse its discretion in allowing the call to be introduced at trial; (2) when an inmate is aware his calls are recorded there is no expectation of privacy, and any claimed

privilege is waived; and (3) procedures available to maintain privacy and confidentiality for communications with attorneys were not used. Specifically, the 2<sup>nd</sup>

Circuit ruled and looked to other Circuit Court precedent as follows:

The district court found that Rodriguez “was on sufficient notice that his telephone calls, including the telephone call with his sister, would be recorded.” Accordingly, it concluded that the “telephone call was not covered by the attorney-client privilege because there could be no reasonable expectation of confidentiality.” We agree with the district court that, on the basis of the undisputed fact that Rodriguez was aware that his conversation was being recorded by BOP, Rodriguez's disclosure to his sister of his desire to engage in plea discussions with his attorney was not made in confidence and thus constituted a waiver of the privilege.

Our sister Circuits agree. Courts that have been faced with the question have similarly decided that, where an inmate is aware that his or her calls are being recorded, those calls are not protected by a privilege. The Eighth Circuit, in *United States v. Hatcher*, 323 F.3d 666, 674 (8th Cir.2003), held that “[t]he presence of the prison recording device destroyed the attorney-client privilege,” reasoning that “[b]ecause the inmates and their lawyers were aware that their conversations were being recorded, they could not reasonably expect that their conversations would remain private.” The *Hatcher* court explained that “[t]he presence of the recording device was the functional equivalent of the presence of a third party.” *Id.* In the same vein, the Seventh Circuit ruled that the marital communications privilege does not survive where the communication took place during a recorded call from prison. *United States v. Madoch*, 149 F.3d 596, 602 (7th Cir.1998) (holding that the marital communication privilege does not apply “where the spouse seeking to invoke the communications privilege knows that the other spouse is incarcerated,” because it was unreasonable to expect such communication to be confidential given “the well-known need for correctional institutions to monitor inmate conversations”).


....

BOP regulations expressly allow inmates to communicate with their attorneys via telephone and mail, without monitoring. *See, e.g.*, 28 C.F.R. §§ 540.18, 540.19 (directing that correspondence marked as “Special Mail,” including legal correspondence, will be opened in the

presence of the inmate and “may not be read or copied”); *id.* § 540.102 (“Staff may not monitor an inmate’s properly placed call to an attorney.”). Rodriguez could have availed himself of these venues of communication, and nothing in the record implies otherwise. We therefore rely on the government’s assurances in reasoning that Rodriguez “just as easily” could have, and should have, contacted his attorney directly in order to preserve the confidentiality required by the privilege.

**WHEREFORE**, notice is hereby given based on the accusations made to Judge Stallings when the State was not present.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

BY:   
Gayland Gieger (OBA #014638)  
McKenzie McMahan (OBA #32068)  
Colleen Galaviz (OBA #32780)  
Oklahoma Attorney General’s Office  
313 N.E. 21st Street  
Oklahoma City, OK 73105

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

This is to certify on this 7 day of July 2026, a true and correct copy of the above and foregoing was served on the following:

Peter L. Scimeca  
Mark K. Stonecipher  
Bryan N.B. King  
A. Wayne Billings  
Joseph G. Wheeler  
J.W. Coyle, III  
FELLERS, SNIDER, BLANKENSHIP,  
BAILEY & TIPPENS, P.C.  
100 N. Broadway Ave., Suite 1700  
Oklahoma City, O K 73102  
pscimeca@fellerssnider.com  
mstonecipher@fellerssnider.com  
mstonecipher@fellerssnider.com  
bking@fellerssnider.com  
wbillings@fellerssnider.com

William Bock  
6402 N. Santa Fe Ave., Ste. A  
Oklahoma City, OK 73116  
billybock@wbocklaw.com  
Attorney for Breuklander


Robert L. Wyatt, IV  
Wyatt Law Office  
20 NW 13<sup>th</sup> Street, Ste. 300  
Oklahoma City, OK 73013  
bobwyatt@wyattlaw.com

jwheeler@fellerssnider.com  
jwcoyle@fellerssnider.com

David D. Smith, OBA No. 8429  
DAVID D. SMITH, P.C.  
216 E. Eufaula  
Norman, OK 73069  
davidsmithlaw@outlook.com  
Attorneys for Swadley

Christina Vitale  
Jackson Walker LLP  
1401 McKinney St.  
Suite 1900  
Houston, TX 77010  
cvitale@jw.com

Robert Gifford – Not an attorney of record per OSCN as of the time of this filing.  
P.O. Box 2682  
Oklahoma City, OK 73101  
Robert.Gifford@GiffordLawyer.com

  
Gayland G. Gieger



*Exhibit A*

The information on this page is NOT an official record. Do not rely on the correctness or completeness of this information. Verify all information with the official record keeper. The information contained in this report is provided in compliance with the Oklahoma Open Records Act, 51 O.S. 24A.1. Use of this information is governed by this act, as well as other applicable state and federal laws.

[+ Track Case](#)

**IN THE DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR TULSA COUNTY, OKLAHOMA**

STATE OF OKLAHOMA,  
Plaintiff,

v.  
JOSEPH LYLE CAMPBELL,  
Defendant.

**No. CF-2025-4621  
(Criminal Felony)**

Filed: 12/11/2025

Judge: CF Docket C

**PARTIES**

CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE, Defendant  
Oklahoma Attorney General, ARRESTING AGENCY  
STATE OF OKLAHOMA, Plaintiff

**ATTORNEYS**

**Attorney**  
ADAMS, KEVIN DUANE (Bar #18914)  
12 N. CHEYENNE AVE, #41  
TULSA, OK 74103

**Represented Parties**  
CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE

**EVENTS**

[Get Text Reminders](#)

Event	Party	Docket	Reporter
Monday, January 12, 2026 at 9:00 AM ARRAIGNMENT	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE	Arraignment Docket	
Monday, February 2, 2026 at 9:00 AM DISTRICT COURT ARRAIGNMENT	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE	CF Docket C	
Tuesday, February 17, 2026 at 10:00 AM MOTION HEARING	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE	CF Docket C	
Tuesday, March 31, 2026 at 10:00 AM DISTRICT COURT ARRAIGNMENT	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE	CF Docket C	

Event	Party	Docket	Reporter
Tuesday, July 14, 2026 at 10:00 AM BURKES HEARING	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE	CF Docket C	
Wednesday, July 15, 2026 at 9:00 AM CONTINUED BURKES HEARING	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE	CF Docket C	

## COUNTS

Parties appear only under the counts with which they were charged. For complete sentence information, see the court minute on the docket.







**Count # 1.** Count as Filed: RAPE, RAPE IN THE FIRST DEGREE, in violation of 21 O.S. 1111  
Date of Offense: 03/01/1984

Party Name	Disposition Information
CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE	

**Count # 2.** Count as Filed: LEWD, LEWD OR INDECENT ACTS TO A CHILD UNDER 16, in violation of 21 O.S. 1123  
Date of Offense: 03/01/1984

Party Name	Disposition Information
CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE	

## DOCKET

Date	Code	Description
12-11-2025	[ TEXT ]	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE  CRIMINAL FELONY INITIAL FILING.
12-11-2025	[ TEXT ]	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE  INDICTMENT Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office
12-11-2025	[ O ]	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE  ORDER DETERMINING VENUE, SEALING, INDICTMENT, REQUIRING TRANSMITTAL OF INDICTMENT TO COUNTY OF VENUE, AND AUTHORIZING DISCTRICK COURT TO OPEN FOR PURPOSE OF ISSUANCE OF WARRANT Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office
12-11-2025	[ APLI ]	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE  APPLICATION FOR FILING OF INDICTMENT UNDER SEAL Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office
12-11-2025	[ INFORMATION ]	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE  #1 DEFENDANT JOSEPH LYLE CAMPBELL WAS CHARGED WITH COUNT #1, RAPE IN THE FIRST DEGREE IN VIOLATION OF 21 O.S. 1111
12-11-2025	[ INFORMATION ]	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE  #2 DEFENDANT JOSEPH LYLE CAMPBELL WAS CHARGED WITH COUNT #2, LEWD OR INDECENT ACTS TO A CHILD UNDER 16 IN VIOLATION OF 21 O.S. 1123

12-11-2025 [ WAIS ]	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE	\$ 50.00
WARRANT OF ARREST ISSUED, JUDGE: TRAVIS HORTON - BOND AMOUNT: \$150,000.00		
COUNT 1 - RAPE IN THE FIRST DEGREE COUNT 2 - LEWD OR INDECENT ACTS TO A CHILD UNDER 16 WARRANT FEES REMOVED AND INCLUDED IN SHERIFFS RETURN FILED ON 1-13-26		
12-11-2025 [ OCISR ]	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE	\$ 25.00
OKLAHOMA COURT INFORMATION SYSTEM REVOLVING FUND		
12-11-2025 [ TEXT ]	OCIS HAS AUTOMATICALLY ASSIGNED JUDGE CF DOCKET C TO THIS CASE.	
12-17-2025 [ CTFREE ]	JUDGE DAWN MOODY: COURT SIGNS ORDER UNSEALING INDICTMENT.	
12-17-2025 [ APLI ]	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE	
APPLICATION TO UNSEAL INDICTMENT Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office		
12-17-2025 [ O ]	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE	
ORDER UNSEALING INDICTMENT Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office		
12-19-2025 [ FWEX ]	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE	
WARRANT FILED FOR EXTRADITION PURPOSES - CERTIFIED COPIES ISSUED: TCSO AND OKLAHOMA ATTORNEY GENERAL Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office		
12-19-2025 [ CNOTE ]	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE	
///WARRANT OF ARREST STILL OUTSTANDING///		
12-29-2025 [ EAA ]	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE	
ENTRY OF APPEARANCE Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office		
01-09-2026 [ CTFREE ]	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE	
JUDGE SHANNON TAYLOR: DEFENDANT PRESENT, IN CUSTODY. COURT DETERMINES ARREST IS SUPPORTED BY P.C. SPECIAL APPOINTMENT OF THE PUBLIC DEFENDER FOR THE PURPOSE OF BOND HEARING ONLY. STATE REPRESENTED. BOND HEARING HELD. ARRAIGNMENT PASSED TO 1/12/26 @ 9 AM IN ROOM 173, BOND SET AT \$150,000 AGG. DEFENDANT ORDERED TO HAVE NO CONTACT WITH VICTIMS (K.J. AND L.M.), EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY. COURT ORDERS GPS MONITOR UPON RELEASE. DEFENDANT ORDERED TO NOT LEAVE THE JURISDICTION OF TULSA COUNTY. DEFENDANT ORDERED TO REMAIN 1000 FT FROM ALL SCHOOLS, PARKS, DAYCARES, AND COMMUNITY CENTERS. DEFENDANT REMANDED TO CUSTODY.		
01-12-2026 [ TEXT ]	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE	
COURT FINDINGS FOR PURPOSES OF INTIAL BOND HEARING Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office		

01-12-2026 [ CTFREE ]

CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE 

JUDGE SHANNON TAYLOR: DEFENDANT PRESENT, NOT IN CUSTODY, AND REPRESENTED BY KEVIN ADAMS. GAYLAND GIEGER PRESENT ON BEHALF OF ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE. AGREED BOND CONDITION MODIFICATION PRESENTED. COURT REVIEWS AND SIGNS MODIFICATION. DEFENDANT ALLOWED TO ATTEND CHURCH WITH PERMISSION OF CHURCH LEADERSHIP. DEFENDANT ALLOWED TO RESIDE AT LISTED ADDRESS IN MISSOURI AND LAW ENFORCEMENT HAS PERMISSION TO VERIFY NO CHILDREN ARE PRESENT ON THE PROPERTY. DEFENDANT TO NOT BE IN UNSUPERVISED CONTACT WITH MINOR CHILDREN WITHOUT PARENTS OR GUARDIANS PHYSICALLY PRESENT. DEFENDANT TO REMAIN 100 FT AWAY FROM PARKS, DAY CARES, OR FACILITIES PRIMARILY CATERING TO CHILDREN. NO DIRECT OR INDIRECT CONTACT WITH VICTIMS (K.J. AND L.M.) OR PROPENSITY WITNESSES. DEFENDANT ORDERED TO SURRENDER PASSPORT TO COUNSEL. GPS MONITOR TO REMAIN.

01-12-2026 [ BO ]

CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE  #1 \$ 10.00

CASH BOND FOR CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE POSTED BY ADAMS, KEVIN, COUNT NUMBER 1, IN THE AMOUNT OF \$150,000.00, POSTED 01/12/2026  
Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office

01-12-2026 [ BOJ ]

CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE  #1 \$ 25.00

BOND INITIAL FILING JAIL FUND FEE

01-12-2026 [ CCADMIN25 ]

CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE  #1 \$ 2.50

COURT CLERK ADMINISTRATIVE FEE ON \$25 COLLECTIONS

01-12-2026 [ DCADMIN25 ]

CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE  #1 \$ 3.75

DISTRICT COURT ADMINISTRATIVE FEE ON \$25 COLLECTIONS

01-12-2026 [ MOD ]

CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE 

MOTION TO DISMISS INDICTMENT  
Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office

01-12-2026 [ MO ]

CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE 

MOTION TO STRIKE BOND CONDITIONS THAT VIOLATE JOSEPH CAMPBELL'S FREE EXERVISE OF RELIGION IN VIOLATION OF THE OKLAHOMA RELIGIOUS FREEDOM ACT: AND THAT WERE SET IN VIOLATION OF MR. CAMPBELLS SIXTH AMENDMENT RIGHT TO COUNSEL; OR IN THE ALTERNATION MOTION TO MODIFY CONDITIONS OF BOND  
Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office

01-12-2026 [ CTFREE ]

CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE 

JUDGE SHANNON TAYLOR: DEFENDANT PRESENT, NOT IN CUSTODY, AND REPRESENTED BY KEVIN ADAMS. ARRAIGNMENT HELD. DEFENDANT WAIVES READING OF THE INFORMATION AND FURTHER TIME TO PLEAD. DEFENDANT ENTERS A PLEA OF NOT GUILTY. VERBAL REQUEST TO WAIVE PRELIMINARY HEARING PURSUANT TO 22.O.S.524. BY COUNSEL AND ATTORNEY GENERAL OFFICE. COURT APPROVES OF VERBAL WAIVER. BIND OVER TO DISTRICT COURT ARRAIGNMENT BEFORE JUDGE MOODY 2/2/26 @ 9AM IN ROOM 406. BOND TO REMAIN. DEFENDANT RECOGNIZED BACK.

01-12-2026 [ ELECTM ]

CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE 

ELECTRONIC MONITORING PROGRAM RULES & CONDITIONS  
Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office

01-12-2026   ACCOUNT	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE 	
RECEIPT # 2026-4864536 ON 01/12/2026. PAYOR: RUBEN CAMPBELL TOTAL AMOUNT PAID: \$ 150,000.00. LINE ITEMS: CF-2025-4621: \$150,000.00 ON AC90 CASH BONDS FOR CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE.		
01-13-2026   SORET	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE 	\$ 752.13
SHERIFF'S RETURN TRANSPORTATION COSTS FROM GREENE COUNTY DETENTION CENTER, SPRINGFIELD, MISSOURI Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office		
01-13-2026   OCISR	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE 	\$ 25.00
OKLAHOMA COURT INFORMATION SYSTEM REVOLVING FUND		
01-13-2026   TEXT	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE 	
AGREED BOND CONDITIONS MODIFICATIONS Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office		
01-14-2026   RETRL	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE 	
RETURN RELEASE Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office		
01-14-2026   RETCO	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE 	
RETURN COMMITMENT Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office		
01-27-2026   RESP	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE 	
STATE'S RESPONSE TO MOTION TO DISMISS INDICTMENT Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office		
02-02-2026   CTPASS	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE 	
JUDGE DAWN MOODY: DEFENDANT PRESENT, NOT IN CUSTODY AND REPRESENTED BY KEVIN ADAMS. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE IS REPRESENTED BY GAYLAND GEIGER, JENNIFER HINSPERGER, AND DANNY LEVY. HEARING ON MOTION TO DISMISS SET FOR 2/17/26 AT 10AM IN ROOM 406. BOND TO REMAIN; DEFENDANT RECOGNIZED BACK.		
02-17-2026   CTPASS	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE 	
JUDGE DAWN MOODY: DEFENDANT PRESENT, NOT IN CUSTODY AND REPRESENTED BY KEVIN ADAMS. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE IS REPRESENTED BY GAYLAND GEIGER, COLLEEN GALAVIZ, AND DANNY LEVY. COURT REPORTER STACY MOFFITT IS PRESENT. CASE CALLED FOR HEARING ON DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS. DEFENSE STANDS ON THEIR MOTION. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE STANDS ON THEIR RESPONSE. MOTION TO DISMISS IS DENIED BY THE COURT. EXCEPTION NOTED. DISTRICT COURT ARRAIGNMENT PASSED TO 3/31/26 AT 10AM IN ROOM 406. BOND TO REMAIN; DEFENDANT RECOGNIZED BACK.		
02-17-2026   EMF	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE 	\$ 190.00
ELECTRONIC MONITORING DEVICE SUPERVISION FEE		
02-17-2026   CCADMIN0	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE 	
COURT CLERK ADMINISTRATION FEE ON COLLECTIONS		
02-17-2026   DCADMIN00	CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE 	
DISTRICT COURT ADMINISTRATIVE FEE ON COLLECTIONS		

02-17-2026 | ACCOUNT |

CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE 

RECEIPT # 2026-4878076 ON 02/17/2026.

PAYOR: JOSEPH LYLE CAMPBELL TOTAL AMOUNT PAID: \$ 190.00.

LINE ITEMS:

CF-2025-4621: \$190.00 ON AC83 ELECTRONIC MONITORING/SUPERVISION FEE FOR CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE.

---

03-30-2026 | EMF |

CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE 

\$ 205.00

ELECTRONIC MONITORING DEVICE SUPERVISION FEE

---

03-30-2026 | CCADMIN0 |

CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE 

COURT CLERK ADMINISTRATION FEE ON COLLECTIONS

---

03-30-2026 | DCADMIN00 |

CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE 

DISTRICT COURT ADMINISTRATIVE FEE ON COLLECTIONS

---

03-30-2026 | ACCOUNT |

CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE 

RECEIPT # 2026-4896662 ON 03/30/2026.

PAYOR: JOSEPH LYLE CAMPBELL TOTAL AMOUNT PAID: \$ 205.00.

LINE ITEMS:

CF-2025-4621: \$205.00 ON AC83 ELECTRONIC MONITORING/SUPERVISION FEE FOR CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE.

---

03-31-2026 | CTPASS |

CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE 

JUDGE DAWN MOODY: DEFENDANT PRESENT, NOT IN CUSTODY AND REPRESENTED BY KEVIN ADAMS. THE ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE IS REPRESENTED BY GAYLAND GIEGER AND COLLEEN GALAVIZ. CASE ELECTRONICALLY RECORDED. DEFENDANT STANDS MUTE TO THE INFORMATION AND IS FORMALLY ARRAIGNED. BURKES HEARING SET FOR 7/14/26 AT 10AM AND 7/15/26 AT 9AM IN ROOM 406. BOND TO REMAIN; DEFENDANT RECOGNIZED BACK.

---

03-31-2026 | NO |

CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE 

NOTICE OF INTENT TO USE EVIDENCE OF OTHER CRIMES OR BAD ACTS

Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office

---

03-31-2026 | NO |

CAMPBELL, JOSEPH LYLE 

NOTICE OF INTENT TO USE EVIDENCE OF OTHER BILD MOLESTATION OFFENSES

Pursuant to 12 O.S. § 39, Document Available at Court Clerk's Office

*Exhibit B*



Facility: Oklahoma County Detention C

John

Export

Show Search

Right click column names to show/hide columns

Selected: 0 Add To Briefcase Export Transcriptions

Live Monitoring Call History Briefcase Distribution Schedule Alerts Configuration Documentation

<input type="checkbox"/> Listen	Listen	Call Date	Location	Caller Name	Caller PIN	Detected Voice	Three Way	Destination Number	Dest City/State	Duration	Charges	Disposition	Alert	Notes
		07/02/2006 08:40:05	13th Floor - D - 20		200374124	① Short Call Duration	Not Detected	5392303721	Sallisaw, OK	00:03	\$0.00	COMP - Free		Notes
		07/02/2006 08:39:40	6th Floor - C-38		27504	① Short Call Duration	Not Detected	4054642721	Oklahoma City, OK	00:06	\$0.00	COMP - Free		Notes
		07/02/2006 08:39:28	13th Floor - D - 19		188092511	① Short Call Duration	Not Detected	4054377290	Oklahoma City, OK	00:03	\$0.00	COMP - Free		Notes
		07/02/2006 08:39:22	6th Floor - A - 22		32903	Not Checked	Not Detected	4056687182	Oklahoma City, OK	00:49	\$0.10	COMP - Prepaid - Destination		Notes
		07/02/2006 08:39:11	Vocetrial		24148	Not Checked	Not Detected	4056556302	Oklahoma City, OK	00:24	\$0.33	COMP - Prepaid - Msg From Outside Caller		Notes
		07/02/2006 08:39:03	13th Floor - D - 20		200374124	① Short Call Duration	Not Detected	5392303721	Sallisaw, OK	00:03	\$0.00	COMP - Free		Notes
		07/02/2006 08:38:47	Men Holding Bank J Right			Not Checked	Not Detected	4059023206	Oklahoma City, OK	00:50	\$0.00	COMP - Free		Notes
		07/02/2006 08:38:38	6th Floor - C-47		200571464	Not Checked	Not Detected	4058501276	Oklahoma City, OK	00:49	\$0.10	COMP - Prepaid - Destination		Notes
		07/02/2006 08:38:37	8th Floor - C-19		199870921	Not Checked	Not Detected	9180108729	Tulsa, OK	00:42	\$0.10	COMP - Prepaid - Destination		Notes
		07/02/2006 08:37:55	6th Floor - A - 45		200291482	① Short Call Duration	Not Detected	4056926229	Oklahoma City, OK	00:06	\$0.00	COMP - Free		Notes
		07/02/2006 08:37:59	4th Floor - B - 6		26333	① Short Call Duration	Not Detected	5272398386	Edmond, OK	00:02	\$0.00	COMP - Free		Notes
		07/02/2006 08:37:50	4th Floor - D - 15		20040	Not Checked	Not Detected	4055340332	Oklahoma City, OK	02:59	\$0.30	COMP - Prepaid - Caller		Notes
		07/02/2006 08:37:19	13th Floor - D - 19		188092511	Not Checked	Not Detected	4054377290	Oklahoma City, OK	00:25	\$0.10	COMP - Prepaid - Caller		Notes
		07/02/2006 08:36:53	2nd Floor - A - 25		196169422	Not Checked	Not Detected	4055031263	Oklahoma City, OK	02:26	\$0.30	COMP - Prepaid - Destination		Notes
		07/02/2006 08:36:29	6th Floor - C-42		200571464	Not Checked	Not Detected	4058501276	Oklahoma City, OK	00:10	\$0.10	COMP - Prepaid - Destination		Notes
		07/02/2006 08:36:23	Men Holding Bank J Right			Riskau, Demar (200263771)	Not Detected	4059023206	Oklahoma City, OK	01:34	\$0.00	COMP - Free		Notes
		07/02/2006 08:36:07	Vocetrial		199883609	Not Checked	Not Detected	4057295654	Chickasha, OK	00:31	\$0.33	COMP - Prepaid - Msg From Outside Caller		Notes
		07/02/2006 08:36:05	10th Floor - A - 43		200230834	Not Checked	Not Detected	4054925108	Oklahoma City, OK	02:55	\$0.30	COMP - Prepaid - Destination		Notes
		07/02/2006 08:35:57	6th Floor - C-42		200571464	① Short Call Duration	Not Detected	4058501276	Oklahoma City, OK	00:06	\$0.00	COMP - Free		Notes
		07/02/2006 08:35:13	10th Floor - C-4		32505	Training	Not Detected	9188017150	Tulsa, OK	03:16	\$0.40	COMP - Prepaid - Destination		Notes
		07/02/2006 08:34:59	13th Floor - D - 19		188092511	Not Checked	Not Detected	4054377290	Oklahoma City, OK	01:19	\$0.20	COMP - Prepaid - Caller		Notes
		07/02/2006 08:34:14	6th Floor - B - 18		199914069	① Short Call Duration	Not Detected	4054480249	Chickasha, OK	00:03	\$0.00	COMP - Free		Notes
		07/02/2006 08:33:28	Vocetrial		200499920	Not Checked	Not Detected	4057650752	Shawnee, OK	00:16	\$0.33	COMP - Prepaid - Msg From Outside Caller		Notes
		07/02/2006 08:33:13	12th Floor - D - 24		200004305	Not Checked	Not Detected	4054333320	Oklahoma City, OK	00:12	\$0.10	COMP - Prepaid - Destination		Notes
		07/02/2006 08:31:55	10th Floor - C - 34		197172565	Not Checked	Not Detected	9182642636	Tulsa, OK	02:01	\$0.30	COMP - Prepaid - Caller		Notes